

Feb. 3. 2006 5:40PM

RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

No. 1159 P. 1

FEB 03 2006

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

*In re Application of:*

Greg Frankiewicz et al.

*Serial No.:* 10/797,859

*Patent No.:*

*Filed:* March 10, 2004

*For:* Light-Pipe Arrangement with Reduced  
Fresnel-Reflection Losses

*Attorney Docket No.* 2509

*Group Art Unit:* 2883

*Examiner:* Charlie Peng

*Allowed on:*

*Batch No.:*

*Date of this document:* February 3, 2006

Office of Petitions  
Mail Stop Petition  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**Fax to:** (571) 273-8300 (10 pages total)

PETITION FOR REVIVAL OF AN APPLICATION FOR PATENT ABANDONED  
UNINTENTIONALLY UNDER 37 CFR 1.137(b)

Applicant hereby petitions for revival of the above-identified application for patent abandoned unintentionally under 37 CFR 1.137(b). The above-identified application became abandoned for failure to file a timely and proper reply to an action by the United States Patent and Trademark Office.

Incidentally, the action, which was apparently posted by the USPTO on July 13, 2005, never reached the undersigned's new office despite a timely and proper change of address order filed with the United States Postal Service that, in fact, resulted in other exactly addressed mail from the US Patent Office properly reaching the undersigned's office. If the USPTO deems the foregoing as acceptable grounds for re-sending the action, then (1) such alternative relief is requested in lieu of reviving the application under 37 CFR 1.137(b), and (2) return of the enclosed Credit Card Payment Form is requested.

As required by 37 CFR 1.137(b), this petition includes the following three items:

1. Petition fee of \$750.00 for a small entity, to be paid by the enclosed Credit Card Payment Form.
2. Reply to action of July 13, 2005.

02/06/2006 TL0111 00000028 10797859

01 FC:2453

750.00 OP

Application No. 10/797,859  
Date: February 3, 2006

3. The following statement: "The entire delay in filing the required reply from the date for the required reply until the filing of a grantable petition was unintentional."


**Conclusion**

For the foregoing reasons, the present application should be revived and the enclosed reply made of record.

I certify that the foregoing document and any document(s) referenced below are being faxed to the above-mentioned recipient at the above-mentioned fax number on the date stated below.

Dated: February 3, 2006

Respectfully submitted,

  
Charles E. Bruzga  
Registration No. 28,935  
Customer No. 07617

Enclosures: Credit Card Payment Form  
Amendment (i.e., reply)